





United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/11/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/810,101	03/16/2001	Noriaki Sakamoto	10417-058001	2695	
75	90 09/11/2002				
CHRIS T. MIZUMOTO Fish & Richardson P.C. Suite 2800 45 Rockefeller Plaza New York, NY 10111			EXAMINER		
			CLARK, SHEILA V		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
11011 2011, 111	••••		2815		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

AM

Application No. 09/810,101

Applicant(s)

Sakamoto et al

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Sheila V.Clark

Art Unit 2815

	The MAILING DATE of this communicate	ion appears on the cover s	sheet with	the correspondence address			
	for Reply						
	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM						
	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the						
mailing	date of this communication.						
	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p						
	to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by apply received by the Office later than three months after the						
•	patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		, 0001111 1211019	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
Status							
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	·		•			
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 5	This action is non-fin	al.				
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice u						
Disposi	tion of Claims						
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-31</u>			is/are pending in the application.			
4	la) Of the above, claim(s) <u>26-31</u>			is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-25</u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		is/are rejected.			
7) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.			
8) 🗌	Claims	а	re subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Applica	ntion Papers						
• • • —	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on		ted or b)	objected to by the Examiner			
الران ا							
111	Applicant may not request that any object						
11) 🗀				approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are require	• •	action.				
	The oath or declaration is objected to by	y the Examiner.					
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for	or foreign priority under	35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) L	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:						
	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been receiv	ved.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been receiv	ved in App	olication No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internal	ational Bureau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).	_			
*S	ee the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified co	pies not r	eceived.			
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for	or domestic priority unde	er 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e).			
a) [\Box The translation of the foreign language	e provisional application	has been	received.			
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for	or domestic priority unde	er 35 U.S.	C. §§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachm	ent(s)						
1) 💢 No	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PT)	D-413) Paper No(s)			
2) No	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of	Informal Paten	t Application (PTO-152)			
3) 🔲 lnf	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6)					

Application/Control Number: 09/810, 101

Art Unit: 2815

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 3-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The component interconnection in the claims lack clarity. The claims further have many unclear grammatical issues. A few examples are as follows. In claim 3, "semiconductor device" has no antecedent in claims 1 nor 2. It is further unclear how the metal body and semiconductor device are oriented relative to the radiation substrate and first film. Claim 4 contains grammatical issue such as "device is mounted to be connected". It is further unclear what this claim is reciting. It is unclear if the semiconductor device is mounted or connected to electronic equipment and to what the "second surface refers". All of the components and their interconnection in this claim need to be further defined and where appropriate provided with proper antecedent.

In claim 5, lines 2-6 are unclear. It is unclear what is "exposed" and what is "exposed" from what. The general interconnection of components are unclear.

In claim 11, line 3, "projected rather than surfaces" appears to have unclear grammatical issues and it is unclear what is meant by this claim.

The examples above are a few of the many unclear issues. All the claims should be reviewed carefully to insure the language is clear and concise.

Application/Control Number: 09/810, 101

Art Unit: 2815

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Page 3

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee.

Lee teaches the provision of forming Au metal films 12 on heat sinks 25. As the heat sink

taught by Lee is not taught to be restricted to any one particular metal it is therefore deemed that

Lee suggests obvious use of common heat sink material such as Aluminum well known to one

having ordinary skill in this art.

Claims 1-25 are rejected.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Examiner S.V. Clark whose telephone number is (703) 308-4924.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Eddie Lee, can be reached on (703) 308-1690. The fax phone number for the organization where

this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7722 or 7724.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

September 9, 2002

White